Lecture 5 -

Canonical Ensemble

Other thermodynamic ensembles Idea! Our hypothesis before Was that if we could enumerate all the states of a system and the likelyhood of seeing them, then We could predict any observable. LAY = Z P(n) A(W) nestates For closed is olated system, const N,V, E we expect all states to have the same weight, M(MN,E) states $\langle A \rangle = \left[\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} S(H(q_{1/2}) - E) A(q_{1/2}) / \int \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} S \frac{1}{2} S \frac{1}{2} H(q_{1/2}) - E \right]$ But we don't live in an isolated closed system >

Chemistry usually happens ad const T& V T&P So we have to see how (ikely a configuration is under these conditions First, how is this dust with in classical Hemo NVT I NVT I NVE (crulso have permerte merstane, g MU,T NVE NPT cinexcharge Lest, NPT charge volme impornentile fo porticles, rigtd, ch exchange heat (con show pressure equilibrates @ eg) In microcuronical Ensemble we had S(N, U, E) & ds = +de +2dv - FdN lets rewrite in terms of E, b/c that may be easer to think gbort JE = TJS-PUL + MJN

This means
$$T = \left(\frac{2}{5}\right)_{N,Y} P = \left(\frac{2}{5}\right)_{S,N} M = \left(\frac{2}{50}\right)_{S,N}$$

And $E(N_1V_1S)$ is a state function.
 $T_1 = P, a.d$ is an exact function.
 $T_1 = P, a.d$ is a state function.
The second prove called conjugate variables of $S_1 \cup EN$
respectively.
If we want a thermolynamic state function that depends on
a conjugate variable instead of a correct variable
we have a triable called a Legendre transform
 $y = \int f(M) = f(M) = f(M) = f(M) = f(M)$
 $y = \int f(M) = f(M) = f(M) = f(M) = f(M) = f(M)$
 $f(M) = \frac{f'(M)}{M} = \frac{f'(M)$

Chain rule for A(N,U,T) gives $dA = (\partial A_{\partial T}) dT + (\partial A_{\partial U}) dU + (\partial A_{\partial W}) dV +$ but A = E-TS => dA= dE-TdS- SdT = (T ds - Pdu + pdw) - Tds - Sdt = - SOT - POU + MON $= \sum \left(\frac{\partial A}{\partial v} \right)_{v,v} = -S \left(\frac{\partial A}{\partial v} \right)_{v,\tau} = -P \left(\frac{\partial A}{\partial v} \right)_{v,\tau} = -P \left(\frac{\partial A}{\partial v} \right)_{v,\tau} = M$ * A is like an energy This is all classical flemo. To derive things based on a particle basis, we need to figure out how likely a state is, ie the inside of the pentition function bath Small Subsystem #(Etota = H(Pig) = H(1/2) + H2(p",q")

Integrate over coordinates (p",q"), this is a type of what we call "course-graining", reduce complexity of System. May cover this more later What is f(p',q') prob of state in subsystem. $f(p',q') = \int dp'' dq'' S(\mathcal{H}(p_{1}q) - \mathcal{H}_{1}(p_{1}q') - \mathcal{H}_{2}(p''_{1}q''))$ S(NIV, E) & const Since System 1 is small, expend function around $H_1(p',q')=0$, conversent to expend $H_1(f)$ Remember $\Phi(x) = \Phi(a) + (x-a)\Phi(a) + \frac{(x-a)^2}{2}\Phi'(a) + \cdots$ $= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n)^{(n)}(x-n)^{n} \text{ for cerves}$ $\sum_{n=0}^{n=0} \frac{1}{n!} \int \frac{1}{n!} \int$ $\approx \log \int dp'' dp'' S(\mathcal{H}(p,q) - \mathcal{H}_2(p',q'))$ first
arder $+ \left(\underbrace{\mathcal{D}}_{\partial \mathcal{H}_1}(o) \int dp'' dq'' S(\mathcal{H}(p,q) - \mathcal{H}_1(p',q') - \mathcal{H}_2(p',q')) \right)$ $\lim_{n \to \infty} \int dp'' dq'' S(\mathcal{H}(p,q) - \mathcal{H}_1(p',q') - \mathcal{H}_2(p',q'))$ $\lim_{n \to \infty} \int dp'' dq'' S(\mathcal{H}(p,q) - \mathcal{H}_1(p',q') - \mathcal{H}_2(p',q'))$ $\lim_{n \to \infty} \int dp'' dq'' S(\mathcal{H}(p,q) - \mathcal{H}_1(p',q') - \mathcal{H}_2(p',q'))$ $\lim_{n \to \infty} \int dp'' dq'' S(\mathcal{H}(p,q) - \mathcal{H}_1(p',q'))$

Lets sharker $\hat{H}(p_1q_2) = \epsilon$ and use propuls of $\hat{O}(K_1, H_2, -\epsilon) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} S(H_1, H_2 -\epsilon)$ $\hat{O}(H_1, H_2, -\epsilon) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} S(H_1, H_2 -\epsilon)$ 50 logf ~ log Jdp"dg" S(H2(P",g")-E) 50 logf ~ log Jdp"dg" S(H2(P",g")-E) 50 logf ~ log Jdp"dg" S(H2(P",g")-E) $-\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon}\log \int dq'' dq'' S(H_1 + H_2 - \varepsilon) \right|_{\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{H}_1(q',q')$ (HE LOY SS(HZ-E)) HI (P'g') loy Jdp"dq" S(H2(p",q"-ε)) = S2(N2, V2, ε2) $= S_{2/k}$ $\log f \approx \frac{S_2}{F} - \mathcal{H}_1(p'_1 q') \xrightarrow{\partial} S_2(w_1 y_2 e_2)$ log fr Sz/k - Hilpigil/kTz Tzet 50 f a exp(-71,(1',2')/FT) The normalized prob of seeing a state is $f(p_{1g}) = C^{-\mathcal{H}(q_{1},g)/k_{BT}} \int dp \int dz e^{-\mathcal{H}(p_{1g})/k_{BT}}$ we ofter call denominato

and this is free even for systems above
we're not thinking above particle systems, so

$$2 = \int dx e \qquad vhue \quad \beta = \frac{1}{ket}$$
or for discrete systems
$$2 = \sum e^{\beta n}$$
for systems of indistinguishable particles, usually write

$$Q(N_{1}V_{1}T) = \frac{1}{N!h^{3W}} \int dp^{3W} de^{SW} e^{-\beta H(t_{1}P)}$$

$$\int (q_{1}P') = \frac{1}{N!h^{3W}} \int dp^{3W} de^{SW} e^{-\beta H(t_{1}P)}$$

$$\int (q_{1}P') = \frac{1}{N!h^{3W}} \int dp^{3W} de^{SW} e^{-\beta H(t_{1}P)}$$

$$\int (q_{1}P') = \frac{1}{N!h^{3W}} \int dp^{3W} de^{SW} e^{-\beta H(t_{1}P)}$$

$$\int (uhnt is the connection between Q & $\mathcal{L}(N_{1}V_{1}E)^{T}$

$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} dE e^{-\beta E} \int_{0}^{1} dp^{3W} de^{SW} \delta(H(t_{1}E) - E)$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} dE e^{-\beta E} \int_{0}^{1} (M_{1}V_{1}E)^{T}$$$$

 $Q(N_1U_1T) = \frac{1}{\epsilon_0}\int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{P(\epsilon)}{R(M_1U_1\epsilon)}$ 30 the connical partition function is averaging the number of states at each energy if they have weight affe Discrete, $Q(N,V,T) = Z \mathcal{R}(N,v,E) e^{-E/Est}$